June 3rd, 2019

The following comments are for the NCSD Directors, who all happen to be minimum producers.

We in the Baja Subarea need each and every Director of this Newberry Springs Community Services District to encourage the Mojave WaterMasters to do whatever it takes to get this area in water balance sustainability.

A small handful of large water users in our area under the Mojave Water Agency WaterMasters remove 80% of the yearly water. Since our area does not get water from upstream, and we do not get sufficient supply from rain, nor enough water from the pipeline we are left with an overpumping condition yearly.

On May 22, 2019 according to Robert Wagner, Engineer for the Mojave Water Agency WaterMasters, was asked by us just how much water does the minimum producers use per year. His answer was approximately 2,000 total for the entire year. The minimum producers are not the cause of the overdraft of over 12,000 acre feet, nor should they be penalized in any way, form or function for their small use of water in order to exist.

We are only guessing this special closed session meeting today of the NCSD Board is to somehow erroneously support the Johnson's Motion to the Court and the hearing to Judge Riemer which will be in Riverside Superior Court this Thursday, June 6th at 1:30pm. Our interpretation is that the Motion from James and Ellen Johnson has declared outright war against the minimum producer.

We ask that you support the minimum producers of this area, and our goal to have water balance for many years in the future.

Wayne L Snively

Registered Civil Engineer CA #19245

Wayne L. Therely

June 3, 2019

This is addressed to all of the Newberry CSD Directors, who are all Minimum Producers.

The community requests that all of the comments made here tonight, in full be posted on the NCSD website, accurately.

The community has had to wonder and surmise that the closed session tonight is an event to have the NCSD support and condone the Johnson's Motion to the Judge on June 6th, at 1:30pm.

Any Director that has not read all thirty pages and understood the intent should recuse themselves from voting on supporting a document that potentially might harm every minimum producer in the Baja Sub-Area. The Johnson's Motion shows why there is such dissension in the community.

Bill Brunick, attorney for the WaterMasters of the MWA has responded to the Court: And let me read his conclusion to the Johnson's Motion to the Judge, as follows:

It is difficult to address the stream of consciousness of thoughts, feelings and reactions contained in the motion filed by the Johnsons with any degree of clarity. All issues and contentions raised have been addressed by the Engineers, USGS, Watermaster staff and other parties to the litigation. The rights and obligations including receiving an average annual water supply from the immediate upstream Subarea and providing an annual average water supply to the immediate downstream Subarea continue to be met. Storm flows have not been hindered by the Judgement. Only continued Rampdown of Free Production Allowance, retirement of production rights and the purchase of supplemental water by the Baja Subarea can bring the subarea to a sustainable condition.

The Community does not support the Johnson's Motion, and for you to pretend that it does is grounds for litigation.

Since you are hiding the topic of the closed session, our expectations of you coming out and telling us what transpired is very low, at best.

Linda DeLuca Snively